

# SPACE

Published Monthly  
from Coral Gables, Florida

Norbert F. Gariety, Editor and Publisher  
267 Alhambra Circle

Phone HI 8-9300 Coral Gables, Florida

S. P. A. C. E. (Saucer Phenomena and Celestial Enigma)

The Air Force says that it has no **PHYSICAL EVIDENCE** (physical meaning 'something you can touch') that such things even exist. In the words of Capt. Edward J. Ruppelt, retired, who served three years at Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, as Chief Investigator for Project Bluebook **WHAT CONSTITUTES PROOF??** — Does a UFO have to land at the River Entrance to the Pentagon, near the Joint Chiefs of Staff offices? Or is it proof enough when a ground Radar Station detects a UFO, sends a Jet up to intercept it, the pilot sees it, and locks on with his Radar, only to have the UFO streak away at a phenomenal rate of speed? Which is **PROOF?**

August 1961

Bulletin No. 56

From the Editor's desk, it would appear that the past several issues of S.P.A.C.E., has evoked more comment, both pro and con, than it has in its past 55 months of existence. Needless to say the mail has been "right interesting". Sort of pushes the "space news", what there is of it, into the background.

First let me say that the response to date has been overwhelmingly in favor of continuing the format of the past several issues.

However, not all letters have been flattering, and to ignore those which are in disagreement would not be "kosher", so after receiving permission to use their letters, I will herewith reprint the two letters which found my recent bulletins to be in poor taste.

First the letters, and then my defense:

July 12, 1961

Dear Mr. Gariety:

I have just received the June issue of your S.P.A.C.E. Although I have probably never written to tell you so, I find your magazine to be one of the best if not the very best of the saucer zines still being published. I say this in spite of the fact that you have borrowed an item or two from SAUCER NEWS now and then, without giving credit.

I write now, however, to express to you my opinion on an alarming tendency in the recent issues of your magazine, especially the latest one. As I see it, you have become rather suddenly disillusioned with many of the contactees, because you have decided that there is a left-wing propaganda in their writings. This seems to have put you onto what I would call a political "kick", and you have seen fit to express your political opinions in "S.P.A.C.E."

I feel that political opinions of any kind are out of place in a saucer magazine. You are writing for a very limited audience to

begin with, and whatever political view you take, you will alienate some readers with it. I don't think that readers of saucer zines want to see political ideas injected therein. Nor do I think that the editor of a saucer zine has enough influence with his readers to change or mold their political views, even if he wants to.

I realize that yours is not the first saucer magazine to indulge in politics, but I still feel that it is bad practice. I'll admit that I might feel more tolerant toward your lack of discretion if I happened to agree with your views--which I don't. I don't think that John Birch literature should be used for training U.S. soldiers, and I do think that Kennedy was right to recall the general in question. I don't think that General Walker has been put on any "torture rack", as you call it. I think that the Overseas Weekly, whatever its faults, had more right to comment on General Walker than does "S.P.A.C.E."

And your statement, "After reading these dictionary descriptions, I hope all readers decide to become CONSERVATIVES...is simplish childish.

All I can tell you is that if we fight to conserve what we have in the manner that you seem to suggest, it won't be long before we have nothing left to conserve.

I do hope that you will continue to publish your fine saucer magazine, and that in the future, you will keep it free from politics.

Best Wishes,

Jim Moseley  
SAUCER NEWS

After receiving Mr. Moseley's views in the preceding letter, I wrote requesting permission to use his letter in the August issue. This he graciously permitted. Also, in this

letter he referred to the contactee views, so I will quote from the most recent letter, which amplifies the first:

"Perhaps I was wrong in thinking that you were sympathetic or friendly towards the contactees until you became convinced that they were peddling left-wing political views. But I recall your berating NICAP for their conservative attitude toward the contactees, i.e. the way they choose to ignore such evidence. I don't remember the details offhand, but I do remember I quoted in SAUCER NEWS, an anti-NICAP editorial you once ran.

"Now you seem to be pro-NICAP, and certainly more anti-contactee than you used to be. I am anti-contactee only in the sense that I will not accept their claims until they can be proven. I do not take NICAP's attitude of trying to ignore the whole subject. I am particularly annoyed at NICAP right now because they refused to analyze the pancake sent to them by Judge Carter, re: the Eagle River landing. I will have a lot to say about this in the forthcoming issue."

Sincerely,  
Jim Moseley

-----  
THE ANSWER

To answer Jim Moseley's letter, I will approach the last one first. Since the formation of NICAP by Major Keyhoe, I have always been pro-NICAP, having plugged the Major and his efforts at least once every six months for the past 4 years. So my current ideas on this can hardly be called sudden.

Of course, the best of friends do not always agree, and I did come to one disagreement with Major Keyhoe, and did voice that disagreement. The instance involved was the case of Father McGill, the Episcopal Priest and 28 of his flock who saw UFO activity for 4 hours on one night, and other nights as well. My contention being that with the amount of evidence presented I felt the story was true, and did disagree with NICAP, and questioned the reasons for their not covering this case in the INVESTIGATOR. I am still interested in the reasons, but this does not mean that I have any less respect for Major Keyhoe and his investigations. I have always felt that he is the leader in this field and still do.

I have not become suddenly disillusioned with the "contactees". On the contrary, I

have never "backed" any contactee, and when I have presented their claims, I have always stated that I was not there, therefore I have no way of knowing whether the claim was true or false.

Next, political views are not out of place in any American publication. Here, I shall quote from a letter of approval concerning the past several issues, from one of my subscribers, Mrs. Idabel Epperson, Los Angeles:

July 8, 1961

Dear Mr. Gariety:

We want to express our appreciation of your editorial in S.P.A.C.E., May Bulletin, #53. We feel that we should try to awaken our fellow citizens who are apparently not aware of the seriousness of the crisis which is upon us. They do not realize it, of course, but their apathy, and lack of positive action, is aiding the enemy.

I would like to quote from an address by a 17-year old student, Freedom Foundations Award Winner, Willard M. Wilson from Pelham, New York:

"If you feel you are too busy to take an interest in government... feel that getting mixed up in politics is beneath your dignity or bad for business... then, at least take time out for one thing: Teach your children to count in rubles... they'll need it with the inheritance you're leaving them."

I would say that this is profound wisdom from a 17-year old.

Mr. Gariety, we were very gratified to note that you were neither too busy -- nor too dignified to bring the subject to the attention of your readers.

Our kindest wishes to you and family,  
Sincerely,  
Idabel Epperson

-----  
Thank you, Mrs. Epperson. Yours is one of the many that has given me a good feeling in the past month. We shall hear from others shortly. It appears that readers of saucer magazines do want to see political ideas carried as a part of the format of the magazine. I do appreciate Jim Moseley's concern over losing some of my readers by indulging in this direction. It will be interesting to see if he is correct. However, even if he is, the worst it could mean is that I would have to close down shop, and think of all the

spare time I would have on my hands, not to mention the headaches of editing a non-profit writing venture. (It wasn't intended to be non-profit---it just turned out that way.)

Just one more statement in Mr. Moseley's letter which requires explanation, and then we will move on to the next letter on the agenda.

I quote, "I don't think that John Birch literature should be used for training U.S. soldiers".

Well it so happens that in the subsequent investigations, the investigation showed that General Walker was not using John Birch literature in his training program. It supported his statement of April 14, 1961, when he issued a formal statement saying that his program was: "not associated or affiliated with any organization or society. It is designed to develop the understanding of American military and civil heritage, and the tactics and objectives of those enemies who would destroy it."

No, General Walker's sin was not that he was using John Birch Society material, but that he had the nerve to say that certain prominent Americans were "PINK". This was his unforgivable crime. (Yet George Washington, has been held up to us all these generations as an example that it pays to tell the truth).

Concerning the statement that, "I think that the Overseas Weekly, whatever its faults, had more right to comment on General Walker than does "S.P.A.C.E.", I feel that a national News Commentator with an excellent reputation can answer that one better than your Editor, as your Editor might be accused of being partial to himself.

So I quote from Paul Harvey--"Gen. Walker has been critized by a slime-mongering, girlie-stripping scandal sheet called the Overseas Weekly.

One glance at this smutty, semi-literate tabloid would rot your socks! Yet on the word of this publication, once banned by our Army as unfit for American Servicemen, General Walker was embarrassed, suspended and may be disgraced." (UNQUOTE).

Enough said, we will pass on to the next dissenting voice.

This one comes from Herb Clark of the Vancouver Area Flying Saucer Club, of Vancouver, B.C., Canada.

July 7, 1961

Dear Mr. Gariety:

We have appreciated getting your S.P.A.C.E.

in exchange for our publication and have found it to be an excellent UFO publication.

At this point, however, I feel it incumbent upon me to present a point-of-view evidently not yours. I felt repelled by your sticker rather violently. I am NOT a Communist, but nevertheless your sticker seems to be part and parcel with the cold war, which from my view point is pauperizing the nations and bringing us to the point of utter destruction. Why, or why should we not quit communist-bating and do something constructive at home?

I fully realize that as a news commentator you are doubtless soaked very thoroughly in the "official line"--I am inclined to think that, that "line" is all too often quite wrong. It is dictated by big business and power interests who PROFIT from the arms race, tension and fear of the enemy. That enemy is busy (which many of us are not, in this land of the free and home of the brave), building up their economy. They are not doing that to have it blown to hell tomorrow -- it would pay them far less than it would seem to some that it might "pay" us -- we would all be blown into the stratosphere, whoever started it.

May I say that it is far less likely that the Soviets would start it than that America would. They don't have to have war or war production to distribute purchasing power. We do unfortunately, as things are.

From the little I know of the John Birch Society, I feel that they along with American Fascists are just as great a menace to real Americanism as the communists. The endless cracks in writing and cartoons at the Soviets appeals to me as just more "cold war", stir the thing up, don't let people live and let live -- it's just INSANITY. You may say THEY will not let us live -- I say WE are not letting them live. What about U.S. bases all over the world? What about Western "intervention" when the Soviets took over in Russia, the same in Cuba?

No, you can't beat Communism by force -- only by a better idea -- that takes intelligence, not force. Forgive me for differing, but, I believe along with certain outspoken and courageous people in your own country that an eventual society of the future will embrace the good in both systems -- and when it does come, no man will be able to "corner" any part of the market for his own good, at the expense of others. Eventually we will have a stake IN society, and will not be trying to "get our stake out of society".

Doing unto others, ALL others, as you would be done by, may be a trite saying, but it MUST come before we will have "heaven on earth".

Good Wishes,

Herb Clark

- - - - -

In his letter granting permission to use the above letter in the current issue, Mr. Clark, asks me to please realize that the views expressed are only his views, and not those necessarily of the Vancouver Area Flying Saucer Club. Therefore I am happy to comply, and add this statement at this time.

Also, to add one more paragraph in the second letter, in which he asks for my opinion. I quote:

"I am wondering how you reacted to the President's speech of last night on Berlin? Perhaps you were pleased -- I was notably disappointed. To me it was just a restatement of the Western attitude, right or wrong, reasonable or unreasonable towards Berlin.

"I am not here arguing the Eastern viewpoint -- I merely state that there IS another attitude and that it is held by some 180 millions in Russia. This simply CANNOT be overlooked -- it HAS to be recognized. To overlook it is the same as U.S. attitude towards the Chinese. Whether we like it or not, it is just not possible to be realistic and overlook the existence of 400 million people, and hope that if you forget their existence long enough they will just fold their tents and fade away.

"By all means add the above to my last observations, if you wish. If you will include the P.O. address, I will be glad to comment further on any questions which it might bring forth.

Thank you indeed,

Herb Clark

- - - - -

To cooperate with Mr. Clark, I shall here-with give his address: Mr. Herb Clark, P.O. Box 720, Station A, Vancouver, B.C. Can.

Now to give myself "equal time", I shall answer the questions that the two letters bring to the fore.

First, re: The Berlin issue and President Kennedy's speech. I would classify his speech as moderately satisfactory. I would like to have seen it even stronger than it was.

WHY? Because the views of Krushchev on Berlin do NOT represent the views of 180,000,000 people in Russia, nor does it represent the views of some 75,000,000 souls in a divided Germany. The views of Krushchev and his henchmen represent only a very small

minority of the people of Russia, and Germany.

The first illusion that must be clarified regarding Russia itself is the fact that Russia is 100% Communist. As of this date in 1961, only approximately 4% of the population of Russia are Communist. 96% of the Russians never have and never will be members of the Communist Party. The Communist Party membership is limited to only a very small minority of the hard core personnel necessary to maintain a dictatorship over the masses of the people. The 96% who have never bowed beneath the veil of Communism, hate the Communist dictatorship, would replace it in a minute, if they were allowed a free election.

This same goes for every one of the 24 nations which have been betrayed into the hands of the Communist Criminal Conspiracy. Want an example:

People know by instinct, no matter what their linguistic attributes, when they have, or do not have freedom and liberty.

If the citizens of East Germany, were so happy under this "ideal social society" as so many mistaken people outside the Iron Curtain think, then WHY---WHY are there an average of 1,000 souls per day fleeing from East Germany to West Germany.

Why is not the flight of refugees going in the other direction? Simply because it is the natural instinct to flee from OPPRESSION to FREEDOM.

And the exact same situation applies to China as applies to Russia, Germany, or any other nation who has been betrayed by the fifth column of subversives in its midst, and is now in the sad and unfortunate status of being a COLONY of Russia.

Russia complains about the colonial and imperialistic practices of the Western World, while at the same time it is gathering together the greatest collection of COLONIES that the World has ever known.

LETTER NUMBER ONE

Now we will answer letter number one, point by point.

The sticker which repelled Mr. Clark, is a sticker of the Gadsden flag, which was given to General George Washington by Colonel Gadsden, before Betsy Ross designed the flag with the 13 stars.

This was one of a half dozen flags used by the Revolutionary forces prior to the adoption of a standard flag. On the flag was a rattlesnake, with the words -- "DON'T TREAD ON ME".

The sticker used on my first class mail

last month was a replica of this flag, and above the flag was the statement, MY AMERICAN ANSWER TO THE COMMUNIST - and of course below -- DON'T TREAD ON ME.

It is being used widely, and I for the life of me can see no reason that it would repel anyone. A sticker on a letter reflects the opinion of the sender -- not of the recipient. I have received many, and none have ever insulted me. If this were the case, then all the "FLYING SAUCERS ARE REAL" stickers would highly insult anyone who happens not to believe in Flying Saucers... Heaven forbid!

#### THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY

The statement that the John Birch Society is as great a menace to real Americanism, as the Communists.

Well the original condemnation of the Society was made by the PEOPLES WORLD, the Communist newspaper on the West Coast. It has since been denounced by the Russian news service, Pravda, and even more recently by the Russian Newspaper RED STAR.

Personally, I would feel that I were in rather bad company, if I were saying the same things that were written in these three news sources.

Nuff said on that item.

Now just one more explanation of another point. We quote, "I believe along with certain outspoken and courageous people in your own country that an eventual society of the future will embrace the GOOD in both systems". UNQUOTE.

Now I assume here that Mr. Clark is referring to the "Better Red than dead boys", and I'll admit that we do have a certain percentage of them here in this country.

We also have a certain number of coral snakes in Florida, but I do not intend to merge my society with theirs, that is if I can help it! Snake venom is good, also, but only when diluted and then only under very expert use. Unadulterated it is certain death. So is Communism, at least to those hopeless reactionaries -- who fail to see the Utopia extended to them, and are placed in the liquidation line to make them understand better the advantages of this ideal society.

Now don't get me wrong, I would be the last to say that there is no good what-so-ever in Communism. Far from it, there is some good in everything, and some bad in even the best of God's creations.

Communism is only 96% bad. In this society only 4% have the control and the

party privileges. This 4% -- NEVER HAD IT SO GOOD -- but the 96% -- well they keep fleeing from East to West Germany, every chance they get. Risk their lives to do it, yet.

And in Cuba, which is an island and not nearly so easy to escape from the IRON FIST, they risk their lives to the elements, in rafts, rowboats, small craft, whatever they can sneak away from the island in, and they drift west and north with the winds, hoping for asylum in the U.S., where they arrive with only the clothes on their backs somewhere in the Florida Keys. Strange indeed, that they should want to flee the GREAT HUMANITARIAN (to the wall) Castro. Strange indeed that intelligent humanoids should want to flee such a wonderful UTOPIA!

Conclusion -- the only conclusion we can reach is that no matter where you look, Communism is 96% bad. So it is not all bad. But on the other hand I understand that a "rotten egg" is only about 50% bad. Much of the protein and the albumin is still there -- you could manage to live on them -- in fact -- HOW ABOUT A DOZEN OR SO FOR BREAKFAST TOMORROW MORNING?????

\* \* \* \* \*

AMEN!

Meanwhile, back in the UFO field, action is picking up.

#### FOURTH OF JULY REPORT

Southwick, Mass.---A large, fat, cigar-shaped UFO, having blunt ends "like the end of a test tube", was seen poised stationary to the east of Springfield, Mass., in the early morning hours on the 4th of July. The time was approximately 2:30 to 3:00 a.m.

Mrs. Patricia Biczynski was unable to sleep and went to the window to enjoy the moonlight. She immediately noticed the UFO, since it was far larger and brighter than any of the stars in view.

The UFO was broadside to her, and was brightly reflecting the moonlight from its sides most beautifully and seemed to give a twinkling effect. There were no lights to be seen on the craft.

It was judged to be about 60 degrees above the horizon. The object appeared to be much larger than Echo. It was horizontal to the horizon. No smaller craft were seen to enter or to leave it.

Mrs. Biczynski, having been a former air line hostess on the trans-atlantic run before her marriage, felt it her duty to report her sighting, so she informed the Springfield Police and radio station WSPR. The police

were very cooperative, and offered to dispatch a detective to her home in case she felt too nervous about it, but she declined because she felt that would appear to be silly.

Radio station WSPR broadcast her report several times that day (July 4). However, the sighting was not carried by any of the Springfield papers, due to the fact that the July 4th edition of the morning paper had gone to press before the sighting, and being a holiday, no evening paper was published at all.

(Credit Alden S. Fowler, Southwick, Mass., who interviewed the witness by phone and passed the details to us.)

\*\*\*\*\*

The Fourth of July, seemed to be a holiday in space too, and some of the inhabitants thereof must have been on a tour of the prison planet. A report comes to us from Cincinnati, this one in the evening.

Four witnesses saw the object from the suburb of Kenwood, in northeast Cincinnati. It was twilight, the sun had just set, but it was still fairly light. The sky was clear on the horizon, but beginning to cloud up overhead.

The object was an uneven circle of light, larger than a star. It appeared to be over Mt. Airy, northwest of Cincinnati, close to the horizon, perhaps 10-15°.

(The viewers have asked that we not use their last names for personal reasons, husbands saw the UFO, laughed about it, and still don't believe that they saw anything unusual).

Helen, from whose home the UFO was seen, is used to this view from her living room window. She watched the object for some time before saying anything.

She had the feeling that something looked different, then realized that this was a light that shouldn't be there. She watched it even longer before saying anything.

Her friend Jo took a look. At first she said it was a spotlight, but never could find any beams.

The object which maintained constant brightness (white and bright like a star) after remaining stationary just above the horizon, began to move slowly upward. This is when Jo knew definitely it was not a spotlight. The speed was constant during the rise, and then after some minutes, still rising, the object changed direction to the south, still maintaining constant speed.

The object then moved upward in a southerly course. It was lost to view as it went into the clouds.

Total time of viewing by the 2 witnesses was over 10 minutes. Witnesses later saw fireworks, and maintained that what they had previously seen was not fireworks. (Perhaps the visitors are interested in our Fourth of July fireworks, and make a special trip to try to figure out what this is all about).

Our correspondent Dorothy Lefler of Cincinnati writes that several people told her that UFO accounts for July 4th had appeared in a morning edition of the Cincinnati ENQUIRER, on July 5, but they did not appear in the edition she received. Of course, this happens all the time with these reports in the newspapers.

\*\*\*\*\*

"MARTIAN" PHONE CALL BRINGS OUT A CROWD

MUNGER, Minn., July 15 (UPI) -- A "man from Mars" stood up the biggest crowd in this town's history today.

More than 400 persons crowded the village last night. They turned out in response to telephone calls received by 300 persons in near-by Duluth.

"I am the outer-space man from Mars" the voice on the telephone said. "I am going to arrive at 9:30 p.m. I will land on U.S. Highway 2, seven miles west of Proctor. If you understand me, please repeat."

Munger is seven miles west of Proctor and the crowd began to gather at 8:00 p.m.

The space man never appeared, and an observer said in disgust: "Serves us right for being so stupid as to come out here."

(Credit NEW YORK TIMES, July 16, 1961 --- Gladys Fusaro, Huntington, New York).

-----

Barnum said, "There's a sucker born every minute". Maybe even two, huh?

\*\*\*\*\*

"METEORITE" MYSTIFIES CONNECTICUT FAMILY

Springfield, Mass., July 25, 1961 -- The boy says he saw it land in a field next to his house early Friday.

The Enfield High School freshman reported that he looked out of his bedroom window at 12:15 a.m. as he was preparing for bed and saw a "greenish-white glow, about 3 feet in diameter", rapidly descending into a vacant field adjacent to the Pietruszak house.

A split-second later, Albert said, he heard a sharp hiss and a loud report as the object thudded to earth. His account was corroborated by his parents, Mr. & Mrs.

Albert Pietruszak, Sr., who said they too, had heard the noise.

The teenager made no effort to trace the disturbance at that time, but drew a bead on the landing place and the following afternoon, armed with a shovel, proceeded to the spot.

After digging for three hours, he struck upon his "meteorite", a rough, pock-marked stone, weighing about 2½ pounds, and striped with veins of maroon and sulfurous yellow, bearing a "strong odor of petroleum."

Albert reported that at first the stone was "too hot to handle." Exposed to the air it cooled quickly but not before he had carried it to his father, who also noted the heat which the stone seemed to be giving off.

According to Albert's calculations, the missile had penetrated and destroyed an overhanging sand bank, three feet in thickness, and had hit solid earth, digging itself in another three feet, where it was recovered.

#### CALLS IT SLAG IRON

Monday, Springfield Science Museum Director Frank D. Korkosz said the "rock" was a piece of slag iron. Raymond I. Robert of the Connecticut Valley Mineral Club agreed. They theorized that the slag iron had been part of a load of fill.

Neither man, however, was able to explain the mysterious phenomena reported by Albert and his family. Said they, "the description of the greenish-white glow and the loud hiss, and the warmth of the stone all point to the landing of an actual meteorite at the spot."

They added that the real missile is probably "substantially smaller" than the half-foot piece of slag which Albert discovered.

"A meteorite that size", said Robert, "would have been seen for quite a distance, and would have caused quite a disturbance."

Tuesday, young Pietruszak and two friends dug about an hour where the rock was found. They found a neck and part of the body of a soda bottle. The neck had been flattened and fused, apparently by heat, Pietruszak said.

The three youths dug down about 4 feet but found nothing else bearing on the mystery. However, Pietruszak said he had checked with the owner of the land who assured him that no fill had been dumped there.

Among those interested in the story was Alden S. Fowler of Southwick, who offered the explanation that the slag iron was the remnant of a flying saucer which had blown up high above the earth.

Fowler, district representative of several organizations devoted to investigating reports of UFO's said the report of heat in the piece of slag indicates it came from great altitude. He noted that the report of a greenish light was curious since, he said, iron does not glow green as it burns.

Besides, he said, the fall of the slag was not without precedent. In June 1947, a quantity of slag fell from a flying saucer which seemed to be in trouble near Tacoma, Wash., he said.

Two bushels of slag fell near Chicago in April 1879, and some slag fell in Germany in June 1846, he claimed.

The fall could be connected with a sighting of a cigar-shaped object hovering over Springfield early in the morning of July 4, Fowler said.

The rock is nothing more than slag from the lining of a furnace, Korkosz said Tuesday night. "I've been around many furnaces and seen a lot of it," he commented.

The piece of slag will stay in the Pietruszak home. Albert is going to keep it for a souvenir. (SPRINGFIELD, Mass. UNION, July 25, 1961, Credit Mrs. Virginia Marathea, Putney, Vermont).

Ed. Note. Very amusing. The experts do it again. First, of course, you must arrive at the answer. Second, you arrange the facts, no matter how you must distort them, so that they will add up to the answer that you have already arrived at.

Of course in the process, you step on a few toes. In this case, by inference you call the boy who made the observation a liar because he could not possibly have seen what he saw, when the answer is FURNACE SLAG.

Also, since the answer that has been previously arrived at is furnace slag, you must also by inference, call the owner of the field a liar, when he says that there has been no fill of any kind dumped into the field.

Thirdly, you call the laws of physics a liar when you infer that a piece of dumped slag "imbedded itself 3 feet into the ground."

To do this the slag was dumped at least from a very high altitude. How high do dump trucks fly these days?

The answer is, of course, that the experts are operating on a false premise, and therefore must twist the facts to add up to this false premise. The truth of the matter is that this phenomena has happened many times

RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED

CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA  
267 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE

N. F. GARIETY, Editor and Publisher

S. F. A. C. E.



S.F.A.C.E. Page Light

August 1961

in the past. Of course, to the "experts" who have never investigated their field in which they claim to be experts, they have never even heard of it. They are like the blind pigmy who feels the elephant's trunk, and says the elephant is very much like a snake.

I personally investigated such a phenomena as this one in August 1956 outside of Erie, Pa. The "hot rock" was described and analyzed exactly as this current case. However, further laboratory analysis, and an analysis by a metalurgical lab, proved conclusively that the material was neither of meteoric origin, nor was it furnace slag. And like Albert, I am also keeping a piece for a souvenir. We know the value of it more than do the experts. All of which reminds me -- I have given many lectures on this particular subject, and many times have been introduced as "an expert" in this particular field.

It always makes me cringe -- since I always remember one description that I have heard of an "expert."

An "ex" is a has been, and a "spurt" is a drip under pressure. And I feel that that description adequately fits the experts in this instance.

\*\*\*\*\*

UFO reports have picked up considerably during July, and early August, but we are unable to give but a few of the most spectacular ones here, due to the content of the first five pages of the Bulletin. However, we feel that those pages were necessary to answer the charges in the letters involved.

As we go to press, the volume of mail has increased considerably, and we are happy to report that at least 90 percent has been favorable regarding the recent format. Most have been short, flattering, and containing the general request for me to continue the reporting of the past several months.

Frankly I was never worried about losing subscribers as some of my advisors feared. 98% of Americans are concerned, loyal, and will want to do something to save this Republic. About the other 2%, I couldn't care less.

So if you of the 98% want to know what you can do to expand the coverage in this bulletin, order extra copies of this issue and mail to your friends who are loyal Americans, or interested space fans.

Individual copies -- 25 cents ea.  
Five copies ----- \$1.00  
Twelve copies ----- 2.00

\*\*\*\*\*

George Popowitch, P.O. Box 5242, Akron, Ohio, of the Akron group that compiled the Capt. Killian report is doing the same on reports by TRAIN-CREWMAN -- Anyone having clippings on this please send to Mr. Popowitch. He will return them, I am sure, if you want them back after he has copied them.

\*\*\*\*\*

"I CAN MUSTER THE DETERMINATION TO FIGHT---  
I DO NOT HAVE THE COURAGE TO SURRENDER."

-- Dan Smoot